

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS -P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,896	01/16/2004	Nagarjun Yetukuri	02-022.8	7997
40431 7.	590 08/12/2004		EXAMINER	
ANDREOU & CASSON, LTD.			WHITE, RODNEY BARNETT	
	332 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE, SUITE 1144 CHICAGO, IL 60604			PAPER NUMBER
cincado, il	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		3636	

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/758,896	YETUKURI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rodney B. White	3636
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a region of the provision of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature and the provision of the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) daily will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed bys will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	<u>May 2004</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.	,
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.	•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and,	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to th		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 		a)-(d) or (f).
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		ation No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		
application from the International Bure		_
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not receive	ved.
Attachment(s)		118
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/16/04.		Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3636

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 7, lines 1-2, there appears to be a word or words missing after the word "said". As a result, "cross member" lacks antecedent basis. The "cross member" of what?

The aforementioned problem renders the claim vague and indefinite.

Clarification and/or correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3636

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Breitner et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,079,776).

Breitner et al teach an active head restraint arrangement for passenger seats in motor vehicles providing upward and forward protective motion for occupants head and neck in instances of rear impact comprising a head restraint cushion, at least one head restraint post extending from said head restraint cushion and into the interior of said passenger seats; and a flip-up assembly associated with said head restraint post beneath said head restraint cushion (See Figures 1-2).

....

10// 00,000

Art Unit: 3636

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Baumann et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,688,697).

Baumann et al teach an active head restraint arrangement for passenger seats in motor vehicles providing upward and forward protective motion for occupants head and neck in instances of rear impact comprising a head restraint cushion, at least one head restraint post extending from said head restraint cushion and into the interior of said passenger seats; and a flip-up assembly associated with said head restraint post beneath said head restraint cushion (See Figures 1-4b), a frame attached to a springloaded release arm, a latch with teeth, top flip-up member, a push rod, a middle flip-up member, a pivot arm, and a lower flip-up member, wherein said frame is connected to a trigger mechanism associated with said passenger seat or the motor vehicle and upon activation of said trigger mechanism said frame disengages from said spring loaded release arm; said spring loaded release arm rotates and enables upward movement of said push rod; said spring loaded release arm is thereby locked to a stop; and movement of said push rod enables said pivot arm to release said top flip-up member, said middle flip-up member, and said lower flip-up member, the spring-loaded release arm is spring-loaded counterclockwise, wherein upon release of said top flip-up member, said middle flip-up member, and said lower flip-up member, said flip-up assembly moves upward and forward, wherein upon full actuation and release of said top flip-up member, said middle flip-up member, and said lower flip-up member said spring loaded release arm locked to a stop snaps back and locks into latch with teeth,

Art Unit: 3636

wherein a cross member limits movement of spring loaded release arm, wherein activated said flip-up assembly is reset into deactivated position after full actuation upon impact, wherein activated said flip-up assembly is reset into a deactivated position manually or with the aid of a tool.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Tateyama, Ikeda et al, Maruyama, Tanaka, Aufrere et al, Heilig, Knoll et al, Van Wynsberghe et al, Klier et al, and Muller teach headrests similar in concept to the present invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney B. White whose telephone number is (703) 308-2276. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM-3:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on (703) 308-0827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rodney B. White, Patent Examiner Art Unit 3636 August 9, 2004

Rodney B. Wylia. Patent Examines